

I'm not a robot   
reCAPTCHA

Continue

## Eular guidelines osteoarthritis pdf

but can best be achieved by a multi-country questionnaire rather than by representation of just a few selected on the Task Force. Second, EULAR recommends datations are not comprehensive guidelines for managing knee or hip OA; only 10 key clinical questions were breached (table 2). Many other interventions have not been reconsidered, which can reduce the approximability of thereafter. Thirdly, assembly costs for the development of EULAR recommendations were met by the agricultural-based industry, and as a result, the recommendations with lower editorial independence counts (16.67) (table 4). This critical rating is based on the AGREE tool. Although validated,<sup>34</sup> the AGREE tool is upheated at a time when expert opinion dominates guideline development, and research evidence is largely before. During our assessment, we encountered difficulty assigning a score to some of the items and felt that these commonly objective descriptions often require subjective review. Therefore, we feel that some of the items in this tool do not reflect the true quality of guidelines fairly and that further development of such a tool is required. In addition, the guidelines included in this critical prejudice were assessed by only one person; Due to the rejection of the tool, the results have not yet been biennated. Finally, the assessment included only OA guidelines in English. The quality of non-English guidelines is unknown. In general, the EULAR recommendations pioneered the redevelopment of hybrid guidelines, a possible future direction of clinical practice guidelines. Although restrictions remain, the continued evolution of EULAR methodology offers the opportunity to improve the overall quality of guidelines for OA and other conditions. Authors' affiliations..... W Zhang, M Doherty, Academic Rheumatology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham City Hospital, Nottingham, UK Competing interests: none declared REFERENCES 1 Jordan KM, Arden N, Doherty M, et al. EULAR recommendations 2003: an evidence-based approach to managing knee osteoarthritis: report of Task Force of the Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies including Therapeutic Trials Ann Rheum Dis 2003;62:1145–55. 2 Pendleton A, Arden N, Dougados M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of knee osteoarthritis: report of a task force of the Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies including Therapeutic Trials (ESCRIT). Ann Rheum Dis 2000;59:936–44. 3 Zhang W, Doherty M, Arden N, et al. EULAR evidence-based recommendations for managing hip osteoarthritis: report of a task force of the EULAR Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies including (ESCRIT). Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:669–81. 4 Mazieres B, Scmiedly N, Hauselmann HJ, et al. Level of acceptability of EULAR recommendations for the management of knee osteoarthritis by practitioners in different countries. Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:1158–64. 5 Punzi L, Canesi B, Carrabba M, et al. Italian consensus on Eular 2003 recommendations for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis. Reumatismo 2004;56:190–201. 6 Roddy E, Zhang W, Doherty M, et al. Evidence-based recommendations for the role of exercise in managing osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: the MOVE consensus. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2005;44:67–73. 7 Zochling J, van der Heijde D, Burgos-Vargas R, et al. ASAS/EULAR recommendations for the management of ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:442–52. 8 Roddy E, Doherty M. Guidelines for the management of osteoarthritis published by the American College of Rheumatology and European League Against Rheumatism: why are they so different? Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2003;29:717–31. 9 Svensson O, Malmenas M, Fajutao L, et al. Greater reduction of knee than hip pain in osteoarthritis treated with naproxen, as evaluated by WOMAC and SF-36. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:781–4. 10 The COLLABORATION. Assessing Guidelines for Research and Evaluation (AGREE) Tool. (accessed June 9, 2006). 11 Algorithms for the diagnosis and management of musculoskeletal complaints. Is J Med 1997;103 (suppl 1):S49–80. 12 Universe of adult patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: Phase I, Phase II. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons 2003. accessed 9 Jun 2006). 13 Lost guidance: osteoarthritis. Prodigal Son, UK NHS 2005. = Osteoarthritis (access to 9 Jun 2006). 14 Medical management of adults with osteoarthritis. Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium 2005. (access to 17 Oct 2005). 15 Albright J, Alman R, Bonfiglio RP, et al. Philadelphia panel is proving-based clinical practice guidelines on select rehabilitation interventions for knee pain. Phys Ther 2001;81:1675–700. 16 Altman RD, Hochberg MC, Moskowitz RW, et al. Recommendations for thematic management of osteoarthritis of the hip and knee: 2000 update. Arthritis Rheum 2000;43:1905–15. 17 Bennell K, Hinman R, Crossley K. APA knee joint osteoarthritis positioning. Australian Physiotherapy Association 2001. (accessed June 9, 2006). 18 Brosseau L, Wells GA, Tugwell P, et al. Ottawa panel evidence-based clinical practicality guidelines for therapeutic exercises and manual therapy in the management of osteoarthritis. Phys Ther 2005;85:907–71. 19 Eccles M, Freemantle N, Mason J. North of England evidence-based guideline development project: summary guideline for non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs versus basic analgesics in the treatment of the pain of degenerative arthritis. BMJ 1998;317:526–30. 20 Enloe LJ, Shields RK, Smith K, et al. Total hip and knee replacement programs: a report using consensus. J Orthop Sport Phys Ther 1996;23:3–11. 21 Holbrook AM. Ontario treatment for osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and acute musculoskeletal musculoskeletal Ontario Program for Optimal Therapy 2000. (access to 9 Jun 2006). 22 Lee J, Thorson D, Jurrison M, et al. Health care guideline: diagnosis and abuse of adult degenerative joint disease (DJD) of the knee. Institute of Clinical System Improvement. (accessed June 9, 2006). 23 Lundeberg N. Exercise prescription for older adults with osteoarthritis pain: consensus practice recommendations. J Am Geriatr Soc 2001;49:808–23. 24 Rankin EA, Alarcon GS, Chang RW, et al. NIH consensus statement on total knee replacement December 8–10, 2003. J Bone Joint Surgeon [Am] 2004;86:1328–35. 25 Rosman HA, Tat KLK, et al. Clinical practice guidelines on the management of osteoarthritis. Academy of Medicine of Malaysia 2002. (accessed June 9, 2006). 26 Scott DL, Billingham M, Bourke BE, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis, investigation and management of osteoarthritis of the hip and knee: report of a Joint Working Group of the British Society for Rheumatology and the Research Unit of the Royal College of Physicians. J R Coll Doctors Lond 1993;27:391–6. 27 Tannenbaum H, Peloso PM, Russell AS, et al. An evidence-based approach to prescription NSAIDs in the treatment of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: the Second Canadian Consensus Conference [review; 111 refs]. Can J Clin Pharmacol 2000;7(suppl A):4A–16A. 28 Vogels EMHM, Hendriks HJM, from Barr ME, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for physical therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. (accessed June 9, 2006). 29 Roddy E, Zhang W, Doherty M, et al. Eviction-based clinical guidelines: a news system to better determine true power of recommendation. J Eval Clin Pract 2006;12:347–52. 30 Hynes RB, Sacket DL, Gray JMA, et al. Transfer of evidence from research into practice. 1. The role of clinical care research evidence in clinical decisions. Evid Based Med 1996;1:196–7. 31 Jones J, Hunter D. Qualitative research: consensus methods for medical and health services research. BMJ 1995;311:376–380. 32 The periodic health examination. Canadian task force on the Periodic Health Outlook. Can Med Assoc J 1979;121:1193–254. 33 Sikkelle PG, Woolf SH, Eccles M, et al. Clinical guidelines: evolving guidelines [review; 17 refs]. BMJ 1999;318:593–6. 34 The COLLABORATION. Development and validation of an international full-cultural tool for assessing the quality of clinical practice guidelines: the AGREE project. Qual Saf Health Care 2003;12:18–23. 35 EULAR recommendations for knee and hip osteoarthritis 669 www.bjssportmed.com A bio-psychosocial approach aimed at the patient's specific needs, preferences, priorities and pain traits. Reference 1. Geenen R, Overman CL, Christensen R, et al. EULAR recommendations for the health professional's approach to pain management in inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2018;77:797–807. DOI: EULAR, European Against Rheumatism. Geenen and colleagues on a multidisciplinary task force has published updated guidelines for health professionals on the management of inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis pain. 1 Scroll through the chips for their 10 specific recommendations and the home message for clinicians. (Photo credit: ©CHA/AMP/Shutterstock.com) Pain includes various and mutually interacting biological, psychological, and social factors that include but are not limited to pain severity, peripheral and central neurophysiological processes (inflammation and joint damage), physical (dis)ability, resilience and vulnerabilities (emotions, cognitions, behaviors, lifestyle), social factors (work, support, economic), sleep quality, obesity. The assessment is short or comprehensive depending on factors such as available time, whether it be a first or regular consultation, and the needs of the patient. An occupational therapist can offer education on appropriate ways to use joints and ergonomic principles, assess the need for an orthotic or assistance device, provide advice on how to acquire the device, adjust the personal assistance to the patient and provide training in its use or refer to an appropriate specialist who will do so (e.g., orthopaedic shoemaker). PreviousNextRelated Content: News | Arthritis | Osteoarthritis Osteoarthritis